

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	COMMISSIONERS BOB STUMP, CHAIRMAN
3	GARY PIERĆE BRENDA BURNS
4	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH ORIGINAL
5	
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE REORGANIZATION) DOCKET NO. E-04230A-14-0011 OF UNS ENERGY CORPORATION) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-14-0011
8)) NOTICE OF FILING
9	
10	
11	UNS Energy Corporation and Fortis Inc. hereby file the Direct Testimony of David G
12	Hutchens and Barry V. Perry in Support of the Settlement Agreement dated May 16, 2014.
13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21 day of June, 2014
14	UNS ENERGY CORPORATION AND FORTIS INC.
15	nlatt
16	By Bradley S. Carroll
17	UNS Energy Corporation 88 East Broadway Blvd., MS HQE910
18	P. O. Box 711
19	Tucson, Arizona 85702
	and
20	Arizona Corporation Commission
21	llico ⊆ ~ ~ DUCKETED
22	JUN 0 2 2014
23	JUN 0 2 2014 DOCKETED BY CALL
24	

¹ On behalf of itself and its affiliates UniSource Energy Services, Inc., Tucson Electric Power Company, UNS Electric,

Inc. and UNS Gas, Inc.

On behalf of itself and its affiliates FortisUS Holdings Nova Scotia Limited, FortisUS Inc. and Color Acquisition Sub Inc.

1 Michael W. Patten Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC 2 One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 4 Attorneys for UNS Energy Corporation 5 and 6 Patricia Lee Refo 7 Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P. 8 One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 1900 9 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 10 Attorneys for Fortis Inc. 11 12 Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 2nd day of June, 2014 with: 13 **Docket Control** 14 **Arizona Corporation Commission** 1200 West Washington Street 15 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 16 Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed 17 this 2 md day of June, 2014 to: 18 Jane L. Rodda 19 Administrative Law Judge **Hearing Division** 20 Arizona Corporation Commission 400 West Congress 21 Tucson, Arizona 85701 22 Brian E. Smith Bridget A. Humphrey 23 Legal Division 24 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 25 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 26

1	Steve Olea
2	Director, Utilities Division
3	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
ŀ	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	Daniel W. Pozefsky
5	Chief Counsel
6	Residential Utility Consumer Office
7	1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
8	C. Webb Crockett Patrick Black
9	Fennemore Craig PC 2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
11	Meghan H. Grabel Arizona Public Service Company
12	P.O. Box 53999, MS 9708 Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999
13	
14	Thomas L. Mumaw Melissa Krueger Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
15	P.O. Box 53999, MS 8695 Phoenix, AZ 85072-3393
16	
17	Cynthia Zwick Arizona Community Action Association 2700 N. 3 rd Street, Suite 3040
18	Phoenix, AZ 85004
19	Nicholas J. Enoch
20	Jarrett J. Haskovec Lubin & Enoch, PC
21	349 North Fourth Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85003
22	Lawrence V. Robertson
23	P.O. Box 1448 Tubac, AZ 85646
24	Timothy M. Hogan
25	Arizona Center for Law in Public Interest 202 E. McDowell Road, Suite 153

1	SWEEP Arizona Representative
2	1167 W. Samalayuca Drive Tucson, AZ 85704-3224
3	Michael M. Grant
4	Jennifer A. Cranston Gallagher & Kennedy, PA
5	2575 East Camelback Road, 11 th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225
6	Gary Yaquinto
7	Arizona Investment Council 2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85004
8	
9	Michael A. Curtis William P. Sullivan
10	Larry K. Udall Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Udall & Schwabb, PLC 501 East Thomas Road
11	Phoenix, AZ 85012
12	Peggy Gillman
13	Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 1045
14	Bullhead City, AZ 86430
15	Joe L. Machado Michael J. Massee City Attorney's Office
16	777 N. Grand Avenue Nogales, AZ 85621
17	Court S. Rich
18	Rose Law Group, PC 7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300
19	Scottsdale, AZ 85251
20	Christopher Hitchcock Law Offices of Christopher Hitchcock
21	P.O. Box AT Bisbee, AZ 85603-0115
22	Jack Blair
23	Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. 311 E. Wilcox Drive
24	Sierra Vista, AZ 85635-2527
25	Charles R. Moore
26	Navopache Electric Cooperative 1878 West White Mountain Blvd. Lakeside, AZ 85929
27	Lakesiue, AL 63727

Garry D. Hays Law Offices of Garry D. Hays 1702 East Highland Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, AZ 85016 Giancarlo G. Estrada Estrada-Legal, PC One East Camelback Road, Suite 550 Phoenix, AZ 85012 By Jackyn Howard

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	
3	COMMISSIONERS BOB STUMP, CHAIRMAN GARY PIERCE
4	GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE) DOCKET NO. E-04230A-14-0011
8	REORGANIZATION OF UNS ENERGY CORPORATION O DOCKET NO. E-01933A-14-0011
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Direct Testimony of
15	Direct resultions of
16	David G. Hutchens
17	In Support of Settlement Agreement
18 19	on Behalf of
20	UNS Energy Corporation and its Affiliates
21	ONS Energy Corporation and its Anniates
22	
23	
24	June 2, 2014
25	
26	
27	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	
3	I. Introduction1
4	II. Background and Summary2
5	A. Overview of the Fortis/UNS Energy Transaction2
6	B. Summary of the Settlement Agreement5
7	III. Settlement Process7
8	IV. Settlement Provisions7
9	A. Settlement Conditions8
10	1. Customer Benefits and Protections9
11	2. Credit Quality and Capital Requirements
12	3. Quality of Service11
13	4. Customer Programs12
14	5. Corporate Governance
15	6. Financial Transparency and Reporting Requirements12
16	7. Other Conditions13
17	B. 1997 TEP Holding Company Order13
18	C. Timing of the Approval of the Acquisition15
19	V. Rule 803(C) Factors and the Public Interest
20	VI. Conclusion17
21	
22	<u>Exhibits</u>
23	DGH-3 Settlement Agreement Ratepayer Credits
24	
25	
26	
27	
	II

1	I.	INTRODUCTION.
2		
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is David G. Hutchens. My business address is 88 East Broadway Blvd.,
5		Tucson, Arizona 85701.
6		
7	Q.	Have you previously submitted Direct Testimony in this proceeding?
8	A.	Yes. I submitted Direct Testimony on January 24, 2014 in support of the Joint Notice of
9		Intent to Reorganize ("Joint Notice") that was filed on January 10, 2014 in Docket Nos.
10		E-04230A-14-0011 and E-01933A-14-0011 ("Docket").
11		
12	Q.	Have there been management changes at UNS Energy Corporation ("UNS Energy")
13		since the Direct Testimony was filed on January 24, 2014?
14	A.	Yes. Paul Bonavia (who also submitted testimony on January 24, 2014) has stepped
15		down as Chief Executive Officer of UNS Energy. He now serves as Executive Board
16		Chair of UNS Energy. I am now Chief Executive Officer and President of UNS Energy,
17		Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP"), UniSource Energy Services, Inc. ("UES"),
18		UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNS Electric"), and UNS Gas, Inc. ("UNS Gas") (TEP, UNS
19		Electric and UNS Gas will be referred to collectively as the "Regulated Utilities").
20		
21	Q.	Have there been any other developments since the filing of the Joint Notice?
22	A.	Yes. Approvals for the merger transaction have been obtained from UNS Energy
23		shareholders, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and the Committee on Foreign
24		Investment in the United States.
25		
26		

Q. What is the purpose of your Testimony?

A. The purpose of my Testimony is to support the May 16, 2014 Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") that was filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in this Docket. In this Testimony, I will: (i) provide background on the proposed transaction and an overview of the Settlement Agreement, (ii) discuss the settlement process and UNS Energy's support for the Settlement Agreement, and (iii) provide an overview of the Settlement Agreement's key provisions.

I will further explain how the order issued in this Docket will replace the conditions that the Commission adopted in Decision No. 60480 (November 25, 1997), which is the order that created TEP's holding company, UniSource Energy Corporation (now UNS Energy) ("1997 TEP Holding Company Order").

Finally, I discuss why UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities believe the Settlement Agreement should be approved under the three standards set forth in Arizona Administrative Code R14-2-803(C) and why approval of the acquisition, subject to the 66 conditions in the Settlement Agreement, is in the public interest.

II. BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY.

A. Overview of the Fortis/UNS Energy Transaction.

Q. Please describe the proposed transaction between Fortis and UNS Energy.

A. The proposed transaction was described in detail in the Direct Testimony filed by the Joint Applicants. I will provide an overview to put the Settlement Agreement in context. Upon completion of the acquisition, UNS Energy will cease being a publicly traded company, with Fortis becoming the ultimate parent company of UNS Energy, UES, and

the Regulated Utilities. Fortis is, and will remain, a publicly traded company.

Fortis is well-qualified to be the ultimate parent of the Regulated Utilities. It has a long track record in the ownership of well-run regulated electric and gas utilities. The cornerstone of its operating philosophy is that its utility subsidiaries should be managed at the local level on a standalone basis. Consistent with Fortis' philosophy, the acquisition will allow UNS Energy to build upon and preserve the local character and strengths of the Regulated Utilities while providing them with improved access to debt and equity capital based on the financial strength of Fortis.

Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Fortis is the largest investor-owned electric and gas distribution utility in Canada. It provides gas and electric service to approximately 2.5 million customers through utility subsidiaries in Canada, New York State and the Caribbean. Fortis has total assets exceeding C\$18.6 billion¹, and a market capitalization of C\$7.0 billion compared with UNS Energy's total assets of US\$4.5 billion and a market capitalization of approximately US\$2 billion before the announcement of the proposed acquisition.

Additional information about Fortis, its operating philosophy and its financial strength is included in the Direct Testimonies of H. Stanley Marshall and Barry V. Perry.

Q. Why is the financial strength of Fortis important to the Regulated Utilities and their customers?

A. As explained in greater detail in the Joint Applicants' Direct Testimonies, the acquisition will improve UNS Energy's access to debt and equity capital. For the Regulated Utilities and their customers, access to capital is especially important because the companies

¹ C\$ signifies Canadian dollars.

anticipate making \$2 billion in capital expenditures over the next five years in order to maintain safe, reliable service to our customers. Approximately half of these capital expenditures will be made over the next two years, including the following significant investments in generation:

- TEP's and UNS Electric's \$219 million purchase of Gila River Unit 3, anticipated to close in December 2014;
- TEP's \$65 million purchase of a 35% interest in Unit 1 of the Springerville Generating Station ("SGS"), anticipated to close in December 2014 and January 2015; and
- TEP's \$73 million purchase of SGS fuel handling facilities, anticipated to close in April 2015.

Q. How will the acquisition affect the quality of service to your customers?

A. Our customers will continue to receive safe and reliable service from the Regulated Utilities. The Settlement Agreement includes specific conditions to protect quality of service. Moreover, our improved access to capital resulting from this transaction will help us make the investments needed to continue to provide safe, reliable and cost-effective service to our customers.

Q. How will the acquisition impact rates charged to customers?

A. Aside from the bill credits that will be given to the Regulated Utilities' customers as discussed later in my testimony, the rates approved in the most recent rate orders for each of the Regulated Utilities will remain in effect until such time as the Commission approves new rates. Regarding future rate cases, the Regulated Utilities will likely have a lower cost of debt than they would without the transaction due to the expected credit

Q

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

ratings upgrades.² As a result of future improved credit ratings, future rates for the Regulated Utilities are expected to be lower with approval of the acquisition than they would be otherwise, thus providing a substantial benefit to customers' rates.

B. Summary of the Settlement Agreement.

Q. Please summarize the terms and provisions included in the Settlement Agreement.

- The Settlement Agreement is very straightforward. First, Signatories to the Settlement Α. Agreement agree that, subject to the conditions contained therein, approval of the acquisition serves the public interest and does not impair UNS Energy or the Regulated Utilities in any way. The Settlement Agreement includes 66 conditions ("Settlement Conditions") that include and expand upon the conditions proposed by the Joint Applicants in the Joint Notice. The Settlement Conditions provide substantial benefits and protections for customers of the Regulated Utilities, including:
 - customer credits of \$30 million spread over 5 years, including \$10 million of credits in the first year;
 - an immediate equity infusion of \$220 million into the Regulated Utilities;
 - protections against any adverse rate impact from the costs of the acquisition;
 - credit quality and capital structure provisions;
 - support of existing levels of contributions to charitable and community programs;
 - maintenance of existing low-income customer assistance programs;
 - maintenance of existing employment and employee benefit levels for a period of at least four years after the conclusion of the acquisition;
 - maintenance of the existing local management of UNS Energy and the

² As discussed in the Direct Testimony of Kevin Larson filed on January 24,, 2014, S&P and Fitch Ratings Inc. indicated that TEP's ratings could be raised by one notch if the acquisition is approved, while Moody's acknowledged the benefit of joining an established utility company of Fortis' size and scope.

Regulated Utilities and their control over operations;

- maintenance of the headquarters of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities in Tucson;
- requirements that the new UNS Energy Board of Directors have a majority of the board members be independent and that a majority will reside in Arizona;
- financial transparency and reporting requirements; and
- corporate governance requirements to protect the Regulated Utilities from any potential adverse impacts of the acquisition.

The Settlement Conditions are discussed later in more detail.

Second, the Settlement Conditions incorporate applicable conditions from the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order, with the intent that the conditions approved in this Docket will replace the conditions in the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order.

Third, the signatories to the Settlement Agreement request that the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement no later than September 18, 2014 so that the transaction can close by September 30, 2014. This timing has the benefit of: (i) allowing the Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause ("PPFAC") credit to go into effect on October 1, 2014 to partially offset the October 1, 2014 TEP PPFAC increase³; (ii) allowing the UNS Gas Purchased Gas Adjustor ("PGA") credit to go into effect on October 1, 2014 as bills begin to increase during the winter heating season; and (iii) reducing debt financing costs and related costs needed for the purchase of Gila River Unit 3 and SGS assets.

³ Decision No. 74439 (April 18, 2014).

III. SETTLEMENT PROCESS.

person or telephonically.

2

1

Please provide an overview of the settlement process. Q.

4

5

Α.

3

were noticed and began on May 5, 2014, after Direct Testimony was filed by the

6

Commission's Utility Division Staff ("Staff") and other intervening parties.

7

8

All parties to the Docket were notified of the meetings and invited to participate either in

Pursuant to the procedural orders issued in this Docket, formal settlement discussions

9

10

11

Thereafter, the final details of the Settlement Agreement, including the numerous

12

conditions, were negotiated and incorporated into a definitive draft. All parties were

13 14

finalized. They were also given the opportunity to become Signatories to the Settlement

given the opportunity to review and comment on the Settlement Agreement before it was

15

16

17

Do you believe that the settlement process was open and transparent? Q.

Agreement before it was filed on May 16, 2014.

18

A.

attended the settlement discussions in person. As a result of the process, Staff, RUCO

their participation in a very cooperative and constructive settlement process.

20

19

and eight other intevenors representing diverse interests became Signatories to the

Yes, I do. All parties to the Docket were invited to participate and most of the parties

21

Settlement Agreement. I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of the parties for

22

23

IV. SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS.

25

26

27

- Q. What are the three primary elements of the Settlement Agreement?
- A. The Settlement Agreement sets forth 66 conditions of approval. It also addresses how the

approval of the proposed acquisition will impact the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order. Finally, the Settlement Agreement requests Commission approval of the Settlement Agreement by September 18, 2014.

A. Settlement Conditions.

Q. Please provide an overview of the conditions of approval set forth in the Settlement

Agreement from UNS Energy's perspective. 4

A. The 66 Settlement Conditions represent a combination of the conditions proposed by Staff, RUCO and other intervenors in their Direct Testimonies as well as 24 conditions that the Joint Applicants proposed in the Joint Notice (to the extent they were not addressed by conditions proffered by other parties). Moreover, the Settlement Conditions include conditions from the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order.

Attachment A to the Settlement Agreement sets forth the Settlement Conditions. Generally, the Settlement Conditions address: customer benefits and protections; credit quality and capital requirements; quality of service; customer programs; corporate governance; financial transparency and reporting requirements; acknowledgment of Arizona laws and procedures; and other miscellaneous issues.

Q. Do you believe that the Settlement Conditions address the concerns raised by Staff, RUCO and other intervenors?

A. I believe they address the vast majority of concerns raised in the Direct Testimony. The Settlement Conditions do not attempt to address issues that are generally considered to be policy issues within the Commission's purview, to revisit issues that the Commission has

⁴ The Direct Testimony of Barry V. Perry in support of the Settlement Agreement addresses the Settlement Conditions that specifically impact or place specific commitments on Fortis upon, and subsequent to, its acquisition of UNS Energy.

addressed in the past, or to address issues that are clearly outside the scope of this Docket.

As noted in Section 2 of the Settlement Agreement, the Signatories agree that the merger transaction, subject to the 66 Settlement Conditions, is in the public interest and would not impair UNS Energy or the Regulated Utilities.

1. <u>Customer Benefits and Protections.</u>

Q. Please describe the conditions regarding customer benefits and protections.

A. Conditions 1 through 15 contain provisions intended to provide customer benefits and protections. The two most notable customer benefit conditions are Condition 1, which provides for \$30 million of customer credits over the next five years, and Condition 2, which requires Fortis to infuse \$220 million of equity into the Regulated Utilities through UNS Energy within 60 days of the close of the transaction.

There are also numerous conditions that are designed to protect the Regulated Utilities' customers from costs related to the merger. For example, transaction costs, acquisition premiums or other costs related to the merger will not be recovered through rates.

Q. How will the \$30 million in bill credits be passed on to the customers?

A. A total of \$10 million will be credited to the Regulated Utilities' customer bills in Year One (starting on October 1, 2014) and a total of \$5 million will be credited each year in Years Two through Five. The allocation of the total credit amount among the Regulated Utilities will be based on number of customers. For example, in Year One, of the \$10 million total, approximately \$6.3 million would go to TEP customers, \$1.4 million would go to UNS Electric customers and \$2.3 million would go to UNS Gas customers.

For Year One, the credits will be made through both a bill credit to the monthly customer charge (\$5 million) and PPFAC and PGA credits (\$5 million). The monthly bill credit will be calculated as an amount proportional to the average monthly customer charge in each class. The PPFAC/PGA credit will offset the PPFAC/PGA rate.

For Years Two through Five, the \$5 million in annual credits will be applied to the monthly customer charge. There will not be a PPFAC or PGA credit applied in years Two through Five.

Finally, as provided in Condition 1(c), the bill credit will be applied only for a six month period from October 1 through March 31 for each of the next five years.

As a result of Condition 1, in the first six months of Year One, the combined monthly charge credit and the PPFAC/PGA credit will result in a winter bill reduction for the average residential customer of approximately 2% for TEP, approximately 2.5% for UNS Electric and approximately 4% for UNS Gas.

The attached Exhibit DGH-3 provides (i) additional information on the allocation of the \$30 million among the Regulated Utilities, and (ii) the estimated bill impact of the credits for the average residential customers of each Regulated Utility.

Q. How will the \$220 million equity infusion benefit the Regulated Utilities and their customers?

A. TEP and UNS Electric plan to make significant capital expenditures towards the end of this year, including the acquisition of Gila River Unit 3. The equity infusion will reduce the need for debt financing of those purchases, thereby reducing interest costs that will be passed on to customers through the ratemaking process. On a long-term basis, this

additional equity improves the financial strength of the Regulated Utilities.

2

1

2. Credit Quality and Capital Requirements.

4

3

Please describe the conditions regarding credit quality and capital requirements. Q.

6

5

7 8

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

Q.

A.

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

A. Conditions 16 through 25 address credit quality and capital requirements following the merger. Most notable is Condition 16, which will improve the capital structure of the Regulated Utilities through restrictions on dividends for the earlier of five years or until such time as the respective Regulated Utility's equity capitalization reaches 50 percent.

Additionally, Conditions 17, 21 and 24 require UNS Energy to maintain a capital structure separate from Fortis, to maintain separate banking, credit facility and cash management arrangements and to continue to maintain separate credit ratings from Fortis. Moreover, other conditions restrict the ability of the Regulated Utilities from providing certain financial support to Fortis, including Condition 25, which prohibits cross-default provisions that could impact the Regulated Utilities.

3. **Quality of Service.**

Please describe the conditions regarding quality of service.

Conditions 26 through 30 provide requirements that are intended to ensure the Regulated Utilities' customers continue to receive at least the level of safe, reliable utility service that customers are currently receiving. For example, Condition 26 requires that senior management will be "on the ground" in Arizona to address customer service issues. Condition 27 provides that employee levels will be maintained for a period of at least four years - supporting the Regulated Utilities' efforts to maintain or improve customer service and service quality levels. Moreover, under Condition 29, the Regulated Utilities commit to continue their ongoing efforts to maintain and improve safe and reliable service.

4. Customer Programs.

- Q. Please describe the conditions regarding customer programs.
- A. Conditions 31 through 35 primarily address issues raised in the intervenors' testimonies and reflect the Joint Applicants' willingness to continue to work constructively with a variety of stakeholders. Under these conditions, the Regulated Utilities have committed to continue certain customer programs. For example, Condition 35 provides that the Regulated Utilities and Fortis commit to continue low-income assistance programs at or above current levels.

5. Corporate Governance.

Q. Please describe the conditions regarding corporate governance?

A. Conditions 36 through 42 reflect provisions intended to protect the Regulated Utilities and their customers from financial weakness that may be suffered by Fortis in the future. These "ring fencing" conditions require that a majority of the members of the UNS Energy board of directors be Arizona residents and that a majority be independent. Additionally, a "golden share" mechanism will be established. These conditions ensure that Arizona interests continue to be represented in the corporate governance process.

These conditions also require that the corporate headquarters remain in Tucson and provide that local management continue to make decisions about the Regulated Utilities' operations.

6. Financial Transparency and Reporting Requirements.

- Q. Please describe the conditions regarding financial transparency reporting requirements?
- A. Conditions 43 through 51 provide a means by which the Commission has necessary access and information to oversee the reorganized corporate entities. For example,

Condition 43 sets forth an annual reporting requirement that tracks compliance with the Settlement Conditions. Condition 46 requires UNS Energy to keep accounting books and records separate from Fortis and to continue to make those records available to the Commission. Condition 47 requires Fortis to provide access to its records regarding any transaction that may have some direct or indirect impact on the Regulated Utilities.

7. Other Conditions.

- Q. Please describe the conditions regarding other issues.
- A. Conditions 52 through 66 address a variety of topics. These conditions describe various Arizona laws and procedures applicable to Fortis, UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities. For example, under Condition 54, UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities will not share customer-specific information with Fortis affiliates except under certain circumstances and parameters.

These conditions also contain several conditions from the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order and other conditions related to relations between affiliated companies. For example, Condition 58 requires that the Regulated Utilities develop and submit for Commission approval proposed procedures for valuing and allocating intercompany transactions to and between the Regulated Utilities and other affiliates, including the transfers of goods and services among them.

B. 1997 TEP Holding Company Order.

- Q. Why does the Settlement Agreement address the existing 1997 TEP Holding Company Order?
- A. The 1997 TEP Holding Company Order approved the creation of UniSource Energy Corporation (since renamed UNS Energy) as a holding company for TEP. It contains a

variety of conditions that were relevant 17 years ago given the circumstances that existed at the time. Since then, significant changes at UNS Energy and the evolving utility landscape have rendered certain conditions meaningless, ineffective or inappropriate. The Commission has modified some of those conditions in the past to reflect such changes. See Decision No. 71256 (September 3, 2009); Decision No. 62103 (November 30, 1999). There have been additional changes to circumstances since 2009, and the acquisition will further affect the appropriateness and applicability of those old conditions.

In light of these changing circumstances and modifications, it is difficult to know which conditions of the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order are in effect and which are not. Once the proposed Fortis-UNS Energy merger is approved, the Commission is effectively creating a new holding company. Going forward, it would be even more challenging to interpret the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order in conjunction with the order in this Docket. Therefore, it makes sense to update the still-relevant conditions from the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order and incorporate them in the order in this Docket and then vacate the conditions of the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order. As a result of this approach, all conditions related to this new holding company structure will be located in one order.

Q. Does the Settlement Agreement include appropriate "hold over" conditions from the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order?

A. Yes. As part of the settlement process, the Signatories carefully reviewed the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order conditions and agreed upon which conditions should continue in force. Those conditions are included in the 66 Settlement Conditions. Section 3 of the Settlement Agreement further provides that the conditions adopted in this Docket shall supersede the conditions of the 1997 TEP Holding Company Order and that such

1

C. Timing of the Approval of the Acquisition.

4

5

6

3

Q. The Settlement Agreement includes a provision concerning approval by September 18, 2014. Could you explain why that provision is important?

7

8

9

10

Α.

approve the Settlement Agreement no later than September 18, 2014. This will allow the transaction to close by September 30, 2014. Closing the transaction by September 30 has

several benefits. First, the PPFAC credit provided by Condition 1 can go into effect on

In Section 1.9 of the Settlement Agreement, the Signatories request that the Commission

11

the PGA credit for UNS Gas customers can go into effect as bills begin to rise during the

October 1, 2014 to partially offset the October 1, 2014 TEP PPFAC increase⁵. Similarly

13

12

winter home heating season.

14 15

16

Second, as a result of the acquisition, Fortis will immediately infuse \$220 million of equity into the Regulated Utilities through UNS Energy. This equity infusion will reduce

17

the amount and cost of debt financing required for the purchase of Gila River Unit 3 and

18 19 the SGS assets later this year and early next year. Again, this, coupled with the

20

anticipated credit rating upgrade, will result in lower interest costs and an improved

21

22

V. RULE 803(C) FACTORS AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

financial profile for the Regulated Utilities.

Administrative Code R14-2-803(C)?

23

24

Q. Do you believe the acquisition, subject to the Settlement Conditions, should be approved by the Commission under the standard set forth in Arizona

25 26

27

⁵ Decision No. 74439.

A.

Yes, I do. A.A.C. R14-2-803(C) states that "At the conclusion of any hearing on the organization or reorganization of a utility holding company, the Commission may reject the proposal if it determines that it would impair the financial status of the public utility, otherwise prevent it from attracting capital at fair and reasonable terms, or impair the ability of the public utility to provide safe, reasonable and adequate service." The acquisition will not impair the financial status of any of the Regulated Utilities, nor will it prevent them from attracting capital at fair and reasonable terms. To the contrary, affiliation with the financial strength of Fortis should **improve** the financial status of the Regulated Utilities and their access to debt and equity capital on more favorable terms.

The acquisition also will not impair the ability of any of the Regulated Utilities to provide safe, reasonable and adequate service. The Regulated Utilities will continue to provide safe, reliable service to customers under their existing local management team in accordance with the standalone operating philosophy of Fortis while also having access to the best practices of Fortis' well-run utilities. The increased access to the capital markets will help the Regulated Utilities make the investments needed to maintain a high quality of service to their customers. Moreover, Fortis, UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities have committed to continuing their steady efforts to maintain and improve the current quality of utility service.

Q. Do you believe that Commission approval of the acquisition subject to the Settlement Conditions is in the public interest?

Α.

Yes. The financial benefits of the acquisition, coupled with the extensive commitments embodied in the Settlement Conditions, will provide tangible benefits to the customers of the Regulated Utilities and communities they serve. Moreover, Fortis has a solid track record with the ownership of well-run, locally managed utilities

1	VI.	CONCLUSION.
2		
3	Q.	Do you have any concluding remarks?
4	A.	Yes. The acquisition is beneficial to the Regulated Utilities, their customers and the
5		communities they serve. I believe that Commission approval of this acquisition is in the
6		public interest.
7		
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	A.	Yes.
10	į	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Exhibit DGH - 3

Exhibit DGH-3

Settlement Agreement Ratepayer Credits \$10 million in Year 1; \$5 million per year in Years 2-5

Allocation of \$30 million among Regulated Utilities

Allocation of \$10 million for Year 1

Utility	Customers	Percentage	Allocation
TEP	409,528	62.90%	\$6,290,000
UNSE	92,550	14.22%	\$1,422,000
UNSG	148,955	22.88%	\$2,288,000

Allocation of \$5 million for Years 2-5

<u>Utility</u>	Customers	Percentage	Allocation
TEP	409,528	62.90%	\$3,145,000
UNSE	92,550	14.22%	\$711,000
UNSG	148,955	22.88%	\$1,144,000

Average Residential Bill Impact¹

Year 1	TEP	UNSE	UNSG
Monthly Charge Credit	\$1.07	\$1.15	\$1.19
PPFAC/PGA Credit ²	\$ <u>0.56</u>	\$ <u>0.69</u>	<u>\$1.66</u>
Total Credit	\$1.63	\$1.84	\$2.85
Years 2-5	TEP	UNSE	UNSG
Monthly Charge Credit	\$1.07	\$1.15	\$1.19

Credits will only be applied during six-month period (October 1 through March 31) for each year.
 PPFAC/PGA credits based on average monthly usage October 1-March 31: TEP & UNSE - 700 kWh; UNSG - 64 therms.

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	
3	
4	COMMISSIONERS
5	BOB STUMP- CHAIRMAN GARY PIERCE
6	BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS
7	SUSAN BITTER SMITH
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE REORGANIZATION) DOCKET NO. E-04230A-14-0011
9	OF UNS ENERGY CORPORATION E-01933A-14-0011
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Direct Testimony of
15	
16	BARRY V. PERRY
17	
18	In Support of the Settlement Agreement
19	
20	on Behalf of
21	
22	Fortis Inc. and its Affiliates
23	
24	
25	June 2, 2014
26	
27	
28	

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	I.	Introduction1
3	II.	Background2
4	III.	Settlement Benefits9
5	IV.	Settlement Conditions
6	v.	Other Required Approvals
7	VI.	Conclusion
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Barry V. Perry. I am the Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") of Fortis Inc. ("Fortis"). My office address is The Fortis Building, Suite 1201, 139 Water Street, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada.

Q. Are there any planned management changes at Fortis that will impact your role within the organization?

A. Yes. On May 12, 2014, the President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Fortis, Mr. H. Stanley Marshall, announced his retirement effective December 31, 2014. On that same date, the Board of Directors of Fortis announced that I would succeed Mr. Marshall as President of Fortis effective June 30, 2014 and as CEO effective December 31, 2014.

Q. Have you previously submitted Direct Testimony in the proceeding?

A. Yes. On January 24, 2014, I submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of Fortis and its Affiliates in conjunction with the Joint Notice of Intent to Reorganize¹ (the "Joint Notice") filed on January 10, 2014 in Docket Nos. E-04230A-14-0011 and E-01933A-14-0011 ("Docket").

Q. What is the purpose of your Testimony at this time?

A. I will first summarize the business model, operating philosophy and financial condition of Fortis, including how the regulated utility subsidiaries of Fortis are managed, operated and financed on a standalone basis. I will also describe how the operating philosophy of Fortis,

The Joint Notice of Intent to Reorganize pertains to the merger of Color Acquisition Sub Inc. ("Color Acquisition"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FortisUS Inc. ("FortisUS"), with UNS Energy. FortisUS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FortisUS Holdings Nova Scotia Limited ("FortisUS Nova Scotia"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Fortis. UNS Energy is the parent company of UniSource Energy Services, Inc. ("UES"), Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP"), UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNS Electric") and UNS Gas, Inc. ("UNS Gas") (TEP, UNS Electric and UNS Gas are referred to collectively as the "Regulated Utilities").

26

27

28

including its commitment to locally run utilities, is focused on employees, communities and customers. I will then explain how the Fortis philosophy will be applied to UNS Energy after the transaction closes and how that philosophy will enhance and improve UNS Energy's and the Regulated Utilities' ability to access capital on more favorable terms.

I will conclude my testimony with a discussion of the conditions Fortis agreed to in the Settlement Agreement dated May 16, 2014, which provide financial protection, regulatory transparency and community and customer commitments. These conditions collectively are consistent with the Fortis philosophy, beneficial to customers of the Regulated Utilities and in the public interest.

II. **BACKGROUND**

Q. Please provide an overview of Fortis and its business model and operating philosophy, as outlined in your Direct Testimony of January 24, 2014.

Fortis is a utility holding company and is the largest investor-owned distribution utility company in Canada, providing regulated electricity and gas services to approximately 2.5 million customers in New York State, five Canadian provinces and two Caribbean countries. The regulated utilities of Fortis account for approximately 90% of its total assets.

Fortis is a long-term investor in North American, regulated utilities. The long-term business objective of Fortis is to manage and grow its investment in regulated electric and gas utilities and to provide a framework for the provision of safe, reliable electricity and gas service to customers within the service territories of its regulated utility subsidiaries. The growth strategy of Fortis is principally based upon long-term organic growth in existing regulated utility operations where Fortis currently invests approximately C\$1 billion per year.² To complement this growth, and to diversify the risk of being concentrated in any one jurisdiction, Fortis

² C\$ signifies Canadian dollars.

pursues acquisitions of regulated utilities in the United States and Canada that fit the Fortis operating model.

Fortis believes that the effective management of regulated energy distribution systems requires local management and decision making. The regulated utilities of Fortis are governed, managed, operated and financed on a standalone basis. The operating philosophy of Fortis and its regulated utilities is to maintain strong relationships with their regulators and communities, provide a high level of customer service and maintain a strong financial position. The local management and board of directors of each of Fortis' utility subsidiaries are responsible for executing this operating philosophy.

Each of the principal regulated utilities of Fortis has its own board of directors. In the case of FortisBC Energy, FortisBC Electric, FortisAlberta, Maritime Electric, Newfoundland Power, Central Hudson Gas & Electric and Caribbean Utilities, the majority of the directors are independent and most reside in the jurisdiction served by the utility. ³

Each Fortis regulated utility also has its own senior management team that lives in the area served by the utility and stands accountable to that utility's own board of directors. Within the Fortis group, management focus and accountability are reinforced through effective corporate governance. For example, each utility's senior management team is required to report to its board of directors on the key aspects of utility operations such as safety, customer satisfaction, service continuity, environmental compliance, cost management and financial performance. The senior management team also serves as the direct contact and decision making authority in all regulatory matters.

Each Fortis regulated utility has the physical, financial and human resources required to discharge its obligation to provide safe, reliable service. There is no shared services company within the Fortis group. Fortis utilities are encouraged to share best operating practices through collaboration with other utilities within the group where practical. In this regard, each of

³ The small regulated utilities of Fortis in Ontario and in the Turks and Caicos Islands do not have independent boards.

the utility boards of directors typically includes at least one CEO from an affiliated regulated utility, which helps in the sharing of best practices.

Fortis is respectful of regulatory oversight and believes that responsiveness to, and cooperation with, regulators is critical to successful utility operations and the overall success of the enterprise. Fortis has met every commitment it has made to a regulatory body in the course of seeking, and subsequent to obtaining, approval to acquire a public utility. It is a key expectation of Fortis that local management deal respectfully and responsively with local regulators. This expectation is a cornerstone of the Fortis standalone operating philosophy.

Fortis also believes that public utilities should be key contributors to the economic development and well-being of the communities they serve. In 2013, Fortis companies contributed in total approximately C\$6 million in sponsorships and in-kind donations to local charitable causes. Employee volunteer efforts are also encouraged, supported and recognized.

Q. Please describe the financial condition of Fortis.

A. The financial position of Fortis is strong and stable. Fortis is the largest investor-owned electric and gas distribution utility in Canada with total assets of approximately C\$18.6 billion as of March 31, 2014, and fiscal 2013 revenues exceeding C\$4.0 billion. In 2013, Fortis had cash flow from operations of approximately C\$900 million and earnings of C\$420 million.

Fortis has consolidated committed credit facilities of approximately C\$2.7 billion, of which C\$2.4 billion was undrawn as of March 31, 2014. The consolidated facilities include a C\$1.0 billion facility at Fortis.

Since the beginning of 2013, Fortis has raised approximately \$3.3 billion in the capital markets, which attests to investors' confidence in our business strategy.

Q. Are the common shares of Fortis publicly traded?

A. Yes. The common shares of Fortis are traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "FTS" with a current market capitalization of approximately C\$7.0 billion. The

current enterprise value of Fortis, which includes common and preferred equity and debt, is in excess of C\$16 billion.

The common shares of Fortis are widely held with the majority (approximately 60-70%) being held by a diverse group of retail shareholders. No single shareholder owns, controls or directs more than 10% of Fortis' issued and outstanding common shares.

As a publicly traded company in Canada, Fortis is subject to financial reporting and continuous disclosure requirements which have been established by the Canadian Securities Administrators ("CSA"), and which are substantially similar to those of the Securities and Exchange Commission in the United States ("SEC"). These similar disclosure requirements effectively ensure that Fortis meets a standard with respect to public reporting and transparency that is consistent with the SEC standard. The SEC and CSA have adopted a Multijurisdictional Disclosure System which permits eligible Canadian and U.S. issuers to raise capital in crossborder public financings, conduct various cross-border M&A transactions and make continuous disclosure filings while complying primarily with their home country securities regulations, including disclosure and procedural rules.

Q. How is Fortis rated by credit rating agencies?

A. Fortis has one of the highest credit ratings among utility holding companies in North America. This is evidence of its strong financial standing and stable risk profile. Fortis has an A-credit rating by Standard & Poor's ("S&P") and an A(low) rating by Dominion Bond Rating Service ("DBRS"). The ratings categories and methodologies of S&P, DBRS, Moody's Investor Services ("Moody's") and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") are substantially similar.

As described in my Direct Testimony of January 24, 2014, a substantial portion of the financing required to complete the acquisition of UNS Energy has already been secured. When Fortis announced its proposed acquisition of UNS Energy, we also announced the offering of C\$1.8 billion of convertible debentures as part of our plan to finance the acquisition. These debentures are convertible to common equity of Fortis once all regulatory and governmental

approvals required to finalize the acquisition have been obtained and all other outstanding conditions under the Merger Agreement have been fulfilled or waived. Given that convertible debentures are treated as debt by S&P, the agency revised its outlook on Fortis on December 13, 2013 from "Stable" to "Negative", while at the same time affirming Fortis' A- credit rating. Actions of this nature are not unusual by credit rating agencies when an announced acquisition is subject to the execution of long-term financing plans. The action taken by S&P in this case is similar to their action in 2012 when Fortis announced its plans to acquire CH Energy Group, Inc.⁴ S&P has stated that, "An outlook revision to stable would likely occur when the convertible debentures are converted to equity, lessening the debt burden." This is expected to occur immediately after closing of the merger transaction.

On December 11, 2013, following the announced acquisition of UNS Energy by Fortis, DBRS issued a press release placing the ratings of Fortis under review with developing implications. DBRS based its ratings action on uncertainty with respect to how Fortis plans to finance the acquisition. DBRS indicated that it will further review the financing plan once it is finalized and is expected to issue a further ratings update at that time. Once again, this is similar to the action taken by DBRS in 2012 when Fortis announced its plans to acquire CH Energy Group, Inc.⁵

Q. How are the regulated utility subsidiaries of Fortis financed?

A. Each Fortis regulated utility is financed on a standalone basis, and has both standalone credit facilities and senior long-term debt instruments. These utility financing arrangements do not permit lending or guarantees to Fortis or other affiliates. Each of FortisBC Energy, FortisBC

When Fortis announced its intension to acquire CH Energy Group, Inc. in February 2012, S&P's outlook on the credit rating of Fortis was placed on "credit watch with negative implications". In May 2012, Once Fortis put its acquisition plan in place, including the issuance of common equity; S&P returned its outlook on Fortis to "Stable".

When Fortis announced its intension to acquire CH Energy Group, Inc. in February 2012, DBRS placed the credit rating of Fortis "under review with developing implications". In mid-2012, after Fortis had put its acquisition plan in place, including the issuance of common equity; DBRS affirmed Fortis' A(low) credit rating and removed it from "under review with developing implications".

Electric, FortisAlberta, Central Hudson Gas & Electric and Newfoundland Power maintain standalone, investment grade credit ratings with at least two nationally recognized rating agencies.

Q. How are the regulated utility subsidiaries of Fortis rated by credit rating agencies?

A. Exhibit BVP-2 to my Direct Testimony of January 24, 2014 provides the credit ratings of the rated companies within the Fortis group as of that date.⁶ The principal regulated utilities of Fortis all carry a rating that is superior to the credit ratings of UNS Energy, TEP, UNS Electric and UNS Gas.

Q. Why does Fortis wish to complete this acquisition of UNS Energy?

A. The acquisition of UNS Energy is consistent with our long-term business strategy of owning well-run North American regulated electric and gas utilities. Fortis believes it is making a sound long-term investment in Arizona and in UNS Energy.

The Regulated Utilities are well-run, with a strong UNS Energy management team, dedicated employees, and well-maintained plant and equipment. Fortis believes the economy in Arizona, which is similar in size to the economy of the Province of British Columbia, will continue to outperform other U.S. jurisdictions; thereby providing Fortis with opportunities for capital investment in the Regulated Utilities to meet the future needs of their customers. Moreover, UNS Energy's utility operations and regulatory environment are similar to those of the Fortis Canadian and New York utilities.

The Regulated Utilities will increase the overall size of Fortis by approximately 30% and provide Fortis with greater geographical diversity in its portfolio of regulated electric and gas utilities.

⁶ Moody's upgraded its rating of Central Hudson Gas & Electric on January 30, 2014 from A3 to A2.

24

26

27

28

Q. How will UNS Energy operate under Fortis ownership?

A. Just as it does today, UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities will continue to focus on providing safe, reliable and cost-effective service to their customers. As part of the Fortis group of regulated utilities, UNS Energy will continue to be operated, managed and governed locally and shall maintain its headquarters in Tucson, Arizona. Fortis expects that UNS Energy's current officers will continue as the officers of UNS Energy after the acquisition; and Fortis will, within one year, appoint a UNS Energy Board of Directors with oversight over UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities, a majority of whom shall be independent and a majority of whom shall be residents of Arizona. All decisions with respect to the operations of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities will be made by the local management and independent Board of Directors. These decisions will include, but will not be limited to: capital and operating plans; establishment of dividend policy (consistent with the Settlement Agreement); determination of debt and equity requirements; employment levels, union negotiations and relationships, and hiring practices; the design and delivery of low income, energy efficiency and renewable energy programs; and community involvement. Local management will also continue to represent the Regulated Utilities in all future regulatory matters. This expectation is a cornerstone of the Fortis standalone operating philosophy.

UNS Energy will also enjoy improved access to capital on more favorable terms as part of the Fortis group. Also, as part of a federation of well-run North American electric and gas utilities, UNS Energy will be able to draw upon expanded technical, operational, financial and regulatory expertise while remaining a strong, locally-based utility. While this is not a synergy driven transaction, any cost savings from realized synergies that result from the acquisition and that are directly attributable to the Regulated Utilities, including but not limited

As part of the transition to a new board of directors, and as referred to in Sections 1.1(c) and 5.14(c) of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, four (4) UNS Energy board members as of the date of closing will remain members of the UNS Energy Board of Directors following the close.

to reduced or eliminated public company costs and reduced insurance costs, which are anticipated, will be beneficial to customers in future rate cases.

Q. How do the credit rating agencies view the impact of the acquisition on their ratings of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities?

A. As discussed in Mr. Hutchens' testimony, and as further described in my Direct Testimony of January 24, 2014, following the announced acquisition of UNS Energy by Fortis, each of the three credit rating agencies who rate TEP (S&P, Fitch and Moody's) commented positively on the rating outlook for TEP following the acquisition. Although there is no guarantee that any of the rating agencies will actually upgrade the credit rating of UNS Energy or TEP following the acquisition, the noted rating agencies' comments reflect their view of the strength of Fortis' financial profile. Further, they confirm that the acquisition is expected to improve the financial status of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities and improve their access to capital on more favorable terms.

III. SETTLEMENT BENEFITS

Q. What was the extent of your involvement in arriving at a Settlement Agreement?

A. Mr. Hutchens describes the settlement process in some detail in his Direct Testimony. As for my involvement, I personally met with Commission Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") and with representatives from the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") prior to the submission of my Direct Testimony on January 24, 2014. Before settlement discussions began, I read the testimony filed in this Docket on April 30, 2014 by Staff, RUCO and the various other intervenors to familiarize myself with their issues and the suggested approval conditions put forth by the various parties. I, then, personally represented Fortis at the settlement discussions which took place in Phoenix, Arizona on May 5, 2014.

Q. What effect does the Settlement Agreement have on the 24 conditions offered in the Joint Notice?

A. The approval conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement include, and build upon, the 24 conditions that were contained in the Joint Notice. Several of the original conditions have now been enhanced to provide greater clarity with respect to, or to strengthen, the commitments made by the Joint Applicants. Others, such as: the commitment to provide direct, tangible customer benefits by way of bill credits; the commitment by Fortis to provide an increased equity injection on closing; the commitment to establish a "golden share"; and, the commitment to provide "follow-on merger savings" that are reasonably applicable to the Regulated Utilities and their customers have been added based primarily on the testimony and recommended conditions of Staff and RUCO. The additional conditions provide significant enhancements that overwhelmingly ensure that the merger transaction is in the public interest.

Q. Why does Fortis support the Settlement Agreement?

A. The terms of the Settlement Agreement provide a just and reasonable resolution of the issues arising in this Docket and, among other things, establish appropriate conditions to ensure that quality of service by the Regulated Utilities is maintained, that access to capital for UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities will be improved, and that unnecessary litigation expense and delay can be avoided.

The Settlement Agreement balances the interests of the parties involved and the different perspectives brought forward by the various intervenors; preserves the standalone nature of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities; meets the requirements for Commission approval of the reorganization of UNS Energy under A.A.C. R14-2-803; and, in addition, provides tangible customer benefits over and above those required by Arizona law.

Q. Is Fortis still committed to its plans with respect to the acquisition and operation of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities in light of the additional and enhanced conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement?

A. Yes. Fortis looks upon this merger transaction with a long-term view, consistent with our long-term business strategy of owning well-run North American regulated electric and gas utilities. UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities are well-run; operate within a regulatory environment that is similar to those of Fortis' Canadian and New York utilities; and provide Fortis with greater geographical diversification and opportunities for long-term capital investment in a growing Arizona economy.

The additional and enhanced conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement provide support for, and are consistent with, the Fortis standalone utility operating philosophy; and reinforce the commitments initially proposed by Fortis and UNS Energy in the Joint Notice.

As a new entrant into the Arizona business community, Fortis recognizes that it must prove to the Commission that it is well qualified as an owner of the Regulated Utilities. Fortis stands by its record and its intentions with respect to the ownership and operation of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities. Fortis has delivered on every commitment it has made to a regulatory body in the course of seeking, and subsequent to obtaining, approval to acquire a public utility. And, as referred to in my Direct Testimony of January 24, 2014, the Fortis approach to ownership of regulated utilities has been favorably acknowledged by regulators in several of the jurisdictions it which Fortis currently operates.

IV. <u>SETTLEMENT CONDITIONS</u>

Q. Please comment on the conditions in the Settlement Agreement that more specifically impact Fortis.

A. The 66 conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Conditions") are categorized as Customer Benefits & Protections, Credit Quality and Capital Requirements,

Quality of Service, Customer Programs, Corporate Governance, Financial Transparency and Reporting Requirements, Acknowledgement of Arizona Laws & Procedures, and Miscellaneous. Mr. Hutchens' Direct Testimony will address those Settlement Conditions that are pertinent to the local management of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities. I will comment on the Settlement Conditions that specifically impact or place specific commitments on Fortis upon, and subsequent to, its acquisition of UNS Energy.

Customer Benefits & Protections

Fortis and UNS Energy have agreed to fund \$30 million in tangible customer benefits which, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, will be provided to customers of the Regulated Utilities by way of bill credits over 5 years, commencing October 1, 2014. The total bill credits of \$30 million ensure that customers receive immediate benefits as a result of the merger transaction. Fortis and UNS Energy intend to fund these tangible customer benefits upon closing of the merger transaction.

In the Joint Notice, Fortis had committed to an equity infusion of \$200 million into UNS Energy for the benefit of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities upon closing of the transaction. Fortis has agreed, in the Settlement Agreement, to increase the equity infusion upon closing to \$220 million. Fortis and UNS Energy have also committed to not seek any recovery of the acquisition premium or goodwill associated with the merger transaction, nor to seek any recovery of acquisition related costs including change of control or retention payments, or shareholder litigation costs, related to the merger.

Credit Quality and Capital Requirements

Fortis and UNS Energy have agreed to limit dividends paid by the Regulated Utilities to UNS Energy to 60 percent of annual earnings for a period of 5 years or until such time as the respective Regulated Utility's equity capitalization reaches 50 percent of total capital, whichever is earlier. This agreed upon commitment, together with the equity funding referred

to above and other agreed upon credit quality and capital requirement conditions included in the Settlement Agreement, is expected to increase equity in the Regulated Utilities to 50 percent of total capital within an estimated 3 to 5 years; and will, therefore, help strengthen the financial status of the Regulated Utilities, and provide them with the equity required to meet their future capital needs.

Quality of Service

In the Joint Notice, Fortis and UNS Energy committed to maintaining the current level of employees of the Regulated Utilities for a period of 2 years subject to changes in the ordinary course of business. This commitment is extended to 4 years in the Settlement Agreement. This commitment recognizes the importance of maintaining a knowledgeable and capable workforce in order to continue the delivery of safe and reliable service to customers of the Regulated Utilities.

Corporate Governance and Financial Transparency and Reporting

Commitments made by Fortis in the Joint Notice, and which have been expanded upon in the Settlement Agreement, with respect to corporate governance and financial transparency and reporting are consistent with and reflect the standalone operating philosophy employed by Fortis in its ownership of regulated electric and gas utilities. The Settlement Conditions with respect to ring fencing, the appointment of a majority of local and independent board of directors, the establishment of a "golden share", maintaining UNS Energy's corporate headquarters in Tucson, Arizona, and maintaining UNS Energy's local management and operations with responsibility for all day-to-day operations of the Regulated Utilities provide that customers are protected and financially separated from Fortis and its other utility operations. Local governance, management and operation of the Regulated Utilities also provides that customers continue to be served by a utility that is positioned to understand the

assets, operations, customer service expectations and applicable regulatory framework within the Arizona jurisdiction.

Similarly, Settlement Conditions which provide the Commission with regular status reports, access to Fortis books and records, rules governing affiliate transactions and relationships, and access to senior management of Fortis, if required; and which acknowledge the Commission's authority to regulate the Regulated Utilities, help to ensure that regulatory oversight and transparency is maintained following the merger.

Acknowledgement of Arizona Laws & Procedures

Fortis acknowledges the Commission's jurisdiction over the Regulated Utilities and will comply with applicable Arizona and federal statutes and Commission rules including, without limitation, the affiliated interest rules as set forth in the Arizona Administrative Code.

Committed for the Long-Term

While Fortis is a long-term investor in regulated electric and gas utilities, as demonstrated by the fact that it has never sold a utility subsidiary, Fortis has specifically committed to not sell or transfer ownership of UNS Energy or any of the Regulated Utilities for a period of at least 5 years. Fortis also acknowledges that any such sale or transfer after 5 years would require advance Commission approval.

V. OTHER REQUIRED APPROVALS

Q. What is the status of other approvals that are required prior to closing the merger transaction?

A. Since the merger transaction was announced on December 11, 2013, approval of the transaction has been obtained from UNS Energy shareholders⁸, the Federal Energy Regulatory

⁸ See eDocket E-04230A-14-0011 image 0000152050.

See eDocket E-04230A-14-0011 image 0000152246.
 See eDocket E-04230A-14-0011 image 0000153507.

Commission⁹, and the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States¹⁰. In addition to approval by the Commission, completion of the merger transaction remains subject to the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, ("Hart-Scott-Rodino") and the satisfaction of other customary closing conditions.

Q. What is the anticipated timeline with respect to the Hart-Scott-Rodino approval?

A. Fortis and UNS Energy filed the required Hart-Scott-Rodino notification with the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice on May 30, 2014. If the initial 30-day waiting period expires without a challenge or request for additional information, then the Hart-Scott-Rodino approval will become effective by June 30, 2014. If a request for additional information is received, an additional 30-day waiting period will commence once Fortis and UNS Energy substantially comply with the information request.

VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Q. In conclusion, will the acquisition of UNS Energy by Fortis and the commitments agreed to in the Settlement Agreement benefit customers, employees and the communities that UNS Energy serves?

A. Yes.

Q. Will approval of the Merger Transaction, subject to the conditions of the Settlement Agreement, improve the financial status of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities, improve their access to capital at more reasonable terms, and enhance the ability of the Regulated Utilities to continue providing safe, reasonable and adequate service to their customers?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you have any concluding remarks?

A. Yes. I would first of all like to thank the parties who participated in what has been an open and transparent settlement process. This Settlement Agreement is in the public interest as it balances the interests of UNS Energy, the Regulated Utilities and the communities they serve, their customers and employees, and Fortis. The Settlement Agreement and associated merger transaction provide substantial and material benefits including, but not limited to, immediate and direct tangible customer benefits by way of bill credits over 5 years, the financial strengthening of UNS Energy and the Regulated Utilities, and benefits to employees and the communities served by the Regulated Utilities.

Upon closing of this merger transaction, UNS Energy will join the Fortis federation of regulated utilities and become part of a larger, more diverse and financially secure company with a stronger credit rating and improved access to capital, on more favorable terms. Ultimately, this will be beneficial to the Regulated Utilities and their customers. Commission approval of this acquisition, subject to the conditions of the Settlement Agreement, is just, reasonable and in the public interest.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.